

ARTICLE EVALUATION FORM

Part I: Rankings (place N/A next to items that do not apply)

<i>Evaluation Criteria</i>	<i>Poor.....Excellent</i>							
1. Appropriateness for this Journal	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
2. Adequacy of literature review	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
3. Adequacy of background	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
4. Adequacy of analysis of issues	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
5. Clarity of presentation	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
6. Organization of article	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8

Part II: Editorial Decisions

Editorial Status

- a. Publishable now
- b. Minor revisions necessary
- c. Major revisions necessary
- d. Unpublishable

Copy-Editing Status

- a. Requires minor copy-editing
- b. Requires major copy-editing

Part III: Chapter Evaluation

Please provide detailed answers to the following questions.

1. Does the article provide sufficient background information regarding its topic?
2. Which topic(s)/information relating to the description/discussion appears to be missing?
3. Does the information in this article clearly illustrate the issues, problems, and trends described in the article? Please specify areas for improvement.

4. Is there a serious over or under emphasis of certain issues/problems in the article?
5. In your opinion, what are the strengths of this article?
6. In your opinion, what are the weaknesses of this article?
7. How can this article be improved?

Part IV: Comments to the Author(s)

Please provide your constructive comments to the author(s) for improving and revising the article.